Group Testing

Amin Coja-Oghlan

TU Dortmund, Informatik 2

The problem



Group testing

[D43,DH93]

- n = population size, $k = n^{\theta} =$ #infected, m = #tests
- all tests are conducted in parallel
- how many tests are necessary to identify the infected?

The problem



Impossible-hard-easy

depending on the number of tests, the task may be

- information-theoretically impossible
- possible but computationally "hard"
- computationally easy



Theorem

Let

$$m_{\rm rnd} = \max\left\{\frac{1-\theta}{\log 2}, \frac{\theta}{\log^2 2}\right\} k \log n \quad \text{where} \quad k \sim n^{\theta}$$

The inference problem on the random hypergraph

- is insoluble if $m < (1 \varepsilon)m_{rnd}$
- reduces to hypergraph VC if $m > (1 + \varepsilon) m_{rnd}$

[JAS16]

[COGHKL19]

The SPIV algorithm



Theorem

[COGHKL19]

There exist a test design and an efficient algorithm SPIV that succeed w.h.p. for

$$m \sim m_{\text{rnd}} = \max\left\{\frac{1-\theta}{\log 2}, \frac{\theta}{\log^2 2}\right\} k \log n$$

The SPIV algorithm



Spatial coupling

- a ring comprising $1 \ll \ell \ll \log n$ compartments
- individuals join tests within a sliding window of size $1 \ll s \ll \ell$
- extra tests at the start facilitate DD
- algorithm based on Belief Propagation

inspired by low-density parity check codes

[KMRU10]

A matching lower bound



Theorem

[COGHKL19]

Identifying the infected individuals is information-theoretically impossible with $(1 - \varepsilon)m_{rnd}$ tests.



- Belief Propagation posteriors
- orange: infected; blue: healthy
- ► $n = 10^4$, m = 1600, k = 500, $\Delta = 2$
- ▶ false positive rate 0.01; false negative rate 0.02

index

Summary

- a randomised construction
- tight information-theoretic and algorithmic bounds
- ► inference via Belief Propagation